UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/568,532 | 04/16/2008 | Martin Steuer | KURARAY-0012 | 5250 |
| 23599 7590 03/03/2009 MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD. | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | ABRAHAM, AMJAD A | |
| SUITE 1400 ARLINGTON, VA 22201 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| ŕ | | | 1791 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 03/03/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 10/568,532 | STEUER ET AL. | | |
| Examiner initiated interview duminary | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | AMJAD ABRAHAM | 1791 | | |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: <u>Per</u> | Status of Application: <u>Pending</u> | | |
| (1) <u>AMJAD ABRAHAM</u> . | (3) | | | |
| (2) <u>Brion Heaney</u> . | (4) | | | |
| Date of Interview: <u>3 February 2009</u> | Time: | | | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicate Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | ant's representative) | | | |
| Part I. | | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | | | |
| Claims discussed: 1-37 Prior art documents discussed: | | | | |
| Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE | | | | |
| Examiner called attorney for applicant regarding a restriction rec prosecute Group I claims 1-23. | quirement and an election with trav | erse was made electing to | | |
| Part III. | | | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| /AMJAD ABRAHAM/ Examiner, Art Unit 1791 | Applicant/Applicant's Representat | ive Signature – if appropriate) | | |
| | | | | |